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Abstract

Although the contribution to anatomical illustration by Vesalius and his followers has received much attention, less credit has been given to Veslingius and particularly Fabricius. By 1600, Fabricius had amassed more than 300 paintings that together made the Tabulae Pictae, a great atlas of anatomy that was highly admired by his contemporaries. Many of his new observations were incorporated into subsequent books, including those by Casserius, Spighelius, Harvey and Veslingius. Also of importance were the Tabulae by Eustachius (1552), which, although only published in 1744, greatly influenced anatomical wax modelling. In 1742, Pope Benedict XIV established a Museum of Anatomy in Bologna, entrusting to Ercole Lelli the creation of several anatomical preparations in wax. Felice Fontana realised that the production of a large number of models by the casting method would make cadaveric specimens superfluous for anatomical teaching and in 1771 he asked the Grand Duke to fund a wax-modelling workshop in Florence as part of the Natural History Museum, later known as La Specola. Fontana engaged Giuseppe Ferrini as his first modeller and then Fontana with the help of Clemente Susini and supervised by the anatomist Paolo Mascagni. It is, however, in Cagliari that some of Susini’s greatest waxes are to be found. These were made when he was free of Fontana’s influence and were based on dissections made by Francesco Antonio Boi (University of Cagliari). Their distinctive anatomical features include the emphasis given to nerves and the absence of lymphatics in the brain, a mistake made on earlier waxes. The refined technical perfection of the anatomical details demonstrates the closeness of the cooperation between Susini and Boi, whereas the expressiveness of the faces and the harmony of colours make the models of Cagliari masterpieces of figurative art.
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Introduction

Anatomical wax modelling started late in the 17th century in Italy on the basis of a long tradition of Italian anatomical illustration and aimed to convey, in the best possible way, the discoveries that had made anatomy the most advanced of the biological sciences. From the time of Vesalius’s ‘revolution’ (1543), which was to make the anatomist step down from his raised chair and perform what is now called autopsy (what I am seeing with my own eyes), to the beginnings of wax modelling, the manner of illustrating human anatomical specimens underwent a considerable evolution (Kemp, 2010; this issue). However, whereas the contribution to anatomical illustration by Vesalius (1514–1564) and his followers, such as Juan de Valverde (1520?–1580), Pieter Pauw (1564–1617), Volcher Coiter (1534–1576), Felix Platter (1536–1614), Caspar Bauhin (1560–1624) and Giulio Casseri (Casserus, 1552–1616), has been greatly emphasized, less credit has been given to Gerolamo Fabricius (Fabricius, 1533–1619) and Johann Veslingius (Veslingius, 1598–1649), who taught anatomy in Padua, or to Eustachius (1505–1574), professor of anatomy in Rome (Roberts & Tomlinson, 1992). (The reason why Eustachius, who lived in the 16th century, is last in this list is because his main work surfaced only in 1714.) Before considering the history and importance of anatomical waxes, this article first considers the main features of anatomical illustration during this period.

Hieronymus Fabricius ab Aquapendente and his Tabulae Pictae

Gerolamo Fabrici d’Acquapendente (Fabricius, 1533–1619), anatomist and surgeon, pupil and successor of Gabriele Falloppia, held the chair of Anatomy in Padua for 50 years. His major contributions were on the human and comparative anatomy of the organs of sense, and on embryology. He was the first to describe the disappearance of the ductus arteriosus and of the umbilical vessels; he also discovered the organ in the fowl that now bears his name (bursa of Fabricius) and gave the name ‘ovarium’ to the organ in the hen that produces the eggs. In the De Venarum Ostiolis he described, and illustrated with beautiful copper-plate engravings, the valves of the veins, although he retained the old concept of Galen that venous blood flowed away from the heart. His Aristotelian research programme greatly influenced his students, among whom were Julius Casserius (1552–1616), Adrianus Spigelius (Spieghel, 1578–1625), William Harvey (1578–1657) and many others from all over Europe. Although Casserius became Fabricius’s fierce academic rival and Harvey reached conclusions on the valves of the vein opposite to those of his erstwhile teacher, all of their published works were based on Aristotle’s philosophy (Cunningham, 1997).

As he reported in the dedication of the De Visione Voce Auditu in 1600, Fabricius was preparing an atlas of both human and animal anatomy of which he had prepared more than 300 tables in carta regia, the largest paper format then in use. He states that, in contrast to those published by Vesalius, the body parts in his Tabulae were represented in their natural size and, more importantly, in their natural colours. Although greatly admired by Fabricius’s contemporaries, who, like his students, had free access to them, the Tabulae disappeared after his death and were only rediscovered in 1909 when Giuseppe Sterzi traced them to the Marciana, the State Library of Venice, through a document that stated that Fabricius had willed the Tabulae to the Republic of Venice (Riva et al., 2000; Ongaro, 2004; Rippa Bonati, 2004). The Marciana Tabulae include 169 oil-painted illustrations collected in eight files, with the other 43 being in three volumes that also contain five of Fabricius’s published works. Most of these pictures are still impressive for their realism and artistic value (Fig. 1A–D). The Tabulae are unlabelled and are the work of several unknown artists (Premuda, 1993; Kemp, 2004) but their quality (Riva, 2004) confirms both the great admiration that Fabricius’s contemporaries had for him and Sterzi’s statement that these pictures represent the most important anatomical work of the 16th to 17th centuries (Sterzi, 1909).
Analyses of the paintings by our group have demonstrated that several anatomical observations, apparently first reported many decades after Fabricius’s death, were actually first observed much earlier as they are reproduced in Fabricius’s Tables. These include the foramen of Monro, Sylvian fissure, arachnoid layer, bulbourethral glands and certain muscles (Riva, 2004; Riva et al., 2006; Collice et al. 2008). It should also be noted that many anatomical details, first seen in the Tabulae, are also present in the copper-engraved figures prepared by Casserius and published by Bucretius in 1627 as illustrations to Spigelius’s textbook and in Vesling’s Syntagma (Riva et al., 2001; Riva, 2004; Murakami et al., 2007). Comparison of Fabricius’s Tabulae (Fig. 1A–D) with the engravings (Fig. 2A,B) of his former pupil Casserius highlights the differences: Casserius, following Vesalius, dramatized his anatomical figures so that they were standing in ornate landscapes as if alive, whereas Fabricius’s paintings are simple representations of anatomical preparations but still clearly works of art. The great skill of the unknown artists in drawing and colouring the specimens seems to anticipate the wax models that would be made by Clemente Susini almost two centuries later (Kemp, 2004).

### Johannes Vesling and his Syntagma Anatomicum

Although Johannes Vesling was born into a German, Catholic family in Mindel, Westphalia in 1598, he studied medicine in Leyden and Bologna. He then spent several years in Egypt as personal physician to Alvise Cornaro, the Venetian consul in Cairo, before returning to Italy where he taught human anatomy, first in Venice and then in Padua, where he held the chairs of both Anatomy and Botany. He died in 1649 and was buried in the cloister of the Basilica del Santo in a sumptuous baroque sarcophagus. His book Syntagma Anatomicum was issued in octavo in 1641 with no figures and in quarto with 24 full-page copper-plates in 1647 (both editions were published by Paolo Frambotti of Padua). The frontispiece of the Syntagma represents a public dissection held by Vesling in the old anatomical theatre built by Fabricius (Fig. 3A).

Although not his pupil, Vesling praises Fabricius in the preface, mentioning his close relationship with the illustrious Paduan School. Vesling describes, as clearly as possible and for the benefit of medical students, the parts of the body as they are seen at dissection. He also included a convincing description of the physiology of the heart and blood circulation based on Harvey’s Exercitatio Anatomica de Motu Cordis et Sanguinis in Animalibus. His description of the lymphatic circulatory system and his assertion that four pulmonary veins normally empty into the left atrium of the heart are observations ‘of particular scientific significance’ (Eimas, 1990). He also described the cerebral circle (predating Willis) and pancreatic duct (Roberts & Tomlinson, 1992). Vesling’s treatise was the most used anatomy textbook in Europe during the period 1650–1750 (Castiglioni, 1941). It was republished a number of times and translated into several languages including an English version by Nicholas Culpeper in 1653. In 1741 a Dutch version of Syntagma was the first illustrated Western anatomical tract to reach Japan (Ogawa, 1964; Murakami et al., 2007).

According to Choulant (1852), Vesling’s figures often served as models for illustrating anatomy textbooks later published in Northern Europe. The success of the book owes much to the simplicity and diagrammatic nature of the figures (Fig. 3B–D); ‘superficialities have been rejected, there are no landscapes’ (Roberts & Tomlinson, 1992). Although they did not have access to Fabricius’s Tabulae Pictae, the same authors commented that Vesling’s pictures were the first not to be based on those in Vesalius’s De Corporis Humani Fabrica published more than a century earlier. Even if Vesalius was the author of the anatomical revolution, it was Fabricius and Vesling who freed anatomical figures from theatrical attitudes and ornate landscapes, making them more realistic and appropriate for medicine and surgery.

### Eustachius and his Tabulae

Singer (1957) has pointed out that, ‘had these plates by Eustachius appeared in 1552 when they were made, his name would have stood with Vesalius as one of the founders of modern anatomy’. He goes on to say that they are more accurate than those of Vesalius and contain such a wealth of discoveries that, for originality, Eustachius has only Leonardo and Vesalius as superiors among the early anatomists. Bartolomeaeus Eustachius (Bartolomeo Eustachi) was born at San Severino Marche and took his MD at La Sapienza University in Rome but had to return home on the death of his father in 1532. In 1539, he briefly held the post of second physician of the town of San Severino before his fame resulted in a call to the court of Urbino, then a flourishing centre of the humanities and science. In 1547, he became personal physician to the Duke’s brother, cardinal Giulio Della Rovere (a boy of 16, known as Cardinal d’Urbino) whom, 2 years later, he followed to Rome. Around 1550, he joined La Sapienza and held the chair of practical medicine there (1555–1566), with Pier Matteo Pini as first dissector. Despite his poor health, allegedly the cause of his bad temper, Eustachius had a successful medical practice becoming not only papal archiater (chief physician) but also physician to several important people, including Carlo Borromeo and Filippo Neri, both of whom were later sanctified. He died in 1574 in Fossato di Vico, while travelling to join Cardinal d’Urbino who had requested his medical services.

During his life, Eustachius published only the Opuscula Anatomica (Venetiis, 1563/4) containing six libelli (booklets), among which those on the
kidney, teeth, auditory organ and venous system are of seminal importance. In 1552, under the direction of Eustachius and Pier Matteo Pini, 47 tables were drawn by Giulio de’Musis and then engraved in copper. Although treasured by Eustachius, they were unpublished and lost for many years. Unlike Vesalius, who used woodcut illustrations, Eustachius was one of the first to use copper engraving, which could show finer detail. Eustachius’s elegant illustrations (Fig. 4) have less dramatic poses than those of Vesalius and lack landscape backgrounds. To avoid superimposed lettering, the plates have graduated borders that allow grid references to be made, a method used by cartographers. Like those of Vesalius, however, the standing anatomical figures were represented as alive but with realistic rather than dramatic poses. The plates were eventually found and acquired by Pope Clemente XI through the efforts of Giovanni Maria Lancisi (1654–1720) who published them in 1714 with new captions to replace the lost originals. They maintained such interest and praise among scientists that further editions, with new legends, were printed from the original blocks or from re-engravings. Particularly appreciated was the version edited in 1744 by the Dutch anatomist Bernard Sigfrid Weiss (1697–1770) whose name was latinized to Albinus. Three years later, Albinus published his famous atlas, based on Eustachius’s work; this greatly influenced Ercole Lelli, the founder of the Bologna school of ceroplastics, as well as Paolo Mascagni and Felice Fontana of La Specola Museum.

Anatomical wax modelling: Zumbo and the school of Bologna

The use of wax modelling as a teaching aid for human anatomy is generally ascribed to the Sicilian abbot Gaetano Giulio Zumbo (1656–1701, better known as Zumbo) (Lanza et al. 1979; Lemire, 1990; Chen et al. 1999; Ballestriero, 2007, 2010). In 1695, Zumbo moved to Genova where he was asked to reproduce in wax the dissections made by Guillaume Desnoues (1650–1735), chief surgeon and anatomist in the main hospital of the republic. Desnoues opened museums in Paris, and then in London, to exhibit wax anatomical models reproducing his dissections to the paying public. He maintained that wax preparations could allow people to learn anatomy while avoiding the horror of dissection. Following Desnoues’ example, several museums exhibiting human wax models to the public were then opened in central Europe, France and Britain, mostly for profit (Lemire, 1990; Massia Somma, 2007; Bates, 2008). Of the anatomical models produced by Zumbo, only two magnificent heads survive today: one is at La Specola (see Ballestriero, 2010, this issue) and the other is in the Natural History Museum of Paris.

In the early 18th century, an academy for student instruction was founded by Luigi Ferdinando Marsili (1658–1690) in the Institutum Scienarium et Artium of Bologna; it consisted of an anatomical chamber containing dried human preparations and was not part of the University. As the specimens deteriorated with use, Ercole Lelli (1702–1766), a talented artist who had a great interest in anatomy, was commissioned to create a series of anatomical models made of durable materials. Between 1732 and 1734 Lelli, on his own initiative and without asking for money, carved in umbrella pine the famous ‘scorticati’; these two magnificent wooden ecorchés (figures showing the muscles after the skin had been removed) were placed on either side of the chair in the Anatomical theatre of the Archiginnasio, the University palace. He also made wax models of a normal and a horseshoe kidney that were greatly praised by cardinal Prospero Lambertini (1675–1758) who later became Pope Benedict XIV (Ruggeri & Pontoni, 2005).

In 1742, Lambertini ordered a Museum of Anatomy to be established in the Institutum and entrusted to Lelli the creation of a considerable number of anatomical preparations in wax, including eight life-size statues in the style of those seen in Eustachius’ and Albinus’ tables (Maraldi et al. 2006). Over his 9-year programme of work, Lelli was helped by both a surgeon and, for 3 years, Giovanni Manzolini (1700–1755) who became very skilled in the art of wax modelling. In 1745, Manzolini left Lelli and continued producing anatomical preparations on his own, with the help of his wife Anna Morandi (1716–1774). After his death in 1755, Anna Morandi continued making wax models, achieving fame not only in Italy but also in European scientific circles (Focaccia, 2008). Unlike Lelli, who mostly devoted himself to osteology and myology, the Manzolinis preferred to reproduce the organs of sense and of the urogenital and cardiovascular systems. The Bolognese waxworks were initially made over human skeletons but later models were entirely artificial; they were, however, single works modelled by the artist on the basis of an anatomical preparation (Dacone, 2006). The works of Lelli, Manzolini and Morandi can now be seen in the Palazzo Poggi, the original site of the old Institutum that operated from 1711 to 1799 (Simoni, 2005).

Anatomical wax modelling: the school of Florence

In 1761, the publication in Venice of the De Sedibus et Causis Morborum by Giovanni Battista Morgagni (1682–1771) put an end to the holistic conception of Hippocrates and Galen by stressing the importance of organ pathology. This gave a new importance to surgery, which until then had been regarded as a minor discipline practiced by uneducated people who could not read Latin, then the standard language for medical textbooks. As they usually had a scanty knowledge of anatomy, particularly of internal organs (Belloni, 1980), there was an urgent need to instruct trainee surgeons in anatomy. This was a time when there were no freezing facilities nor chemicals able to preserve dissected bodies and it was Abbot Felice Fontana (1730–1805) who, having seen the Bolognese waxes when he was a student there, thought of producing a large number of anatomical models by the casting method for teaching purposes. Fontana was a brilliant scientist who was first a professor of logic and then of physics in the Republic. Desnoues opened museums in Paris, and then in London, to exhibit wax anatomical models reproducing his dissections to the paying public. He maintained that wax preparations could allow people to learn anatomy while avoiding the horror of dissection. Following Desnoues’ example, several museums exhibiting human wax models to the public were then opened in central Europe, France and Britain, mostly for profit (Lemire, 1990; Massia Somma, 2007; Bates, 2008). Of the anatomical models produced by Zumbo, only two magnificent heads survive today: one is at La Specola (see Ballestriero, 2010, this issue) and the other is in the Natural History Museum of Paris.

In 1742, Lambertini ordered a Museum of Anatomy to be established in the Institutum and entrusted to Lelli the creation of a considerable number of anatomical preparations in wax, including eight life-size statues in the style of those seen in Eustachius’ and Albinus’ tables (Maraldi et al. 2006). Over his 9-year programme of work, Lelli was helped by both a surgeon and, for 3 years, Giovanni Manzolini (1700–1755) who became very skilled in the art of wax modelling. In 1745, Manzolini left Lelli and continued producing anatomical preparations on his own, with the help of his wife Anna Morandi (1716–1774). After his death in 1755, Anna Morandi continued making wax models, achieving fame not only in Italy but also in European scientific circles (Focaccia, 2008). Unlike Lelli, who mostly devoted himself to osteology and myology, the Manzolinis preferred to reproduce the organs of sense and of the urogenital and cardiovascular systems. The Bolognese waxworks were initially made over human skeletons but later models were entirely artificial; they were, however, single works modelled by the artist on the basis of an anatomical preparation (Dacone, 2006). The works of Lelli, Manzolini and Morandi can now be seen in the Palazzo Poggi, the original site of the old Institutum that operated from 1711 to 1799 (Simoni, 2005).

Anatomical wax modelling: the school of Florence

In 1761, the publication in Venice of the De Sedibus et Causis Morborum by Giovanni Battista Morgagni (1682–1771) put an end to the holistic conception of Hippocrates and Galen by stressing the importance of organ pathology. This gave a new importance to surgery, which until then had been regarded as a minor discipline practiced by uneducated people who could not read Latin, then the standard language for medical textbooks. As they usually had a scanty knowledge of anatomy, particularly of internal organs (Belloni, 1980), there was an urgent need to instruct trainee surgeons in anatomy. This was a time when there were no freezing facilities nor chemicals able to preserve dissected bodies and it was Abbot Felice Fontana (1730–1805) who, having seen the Bolognese waxes when he was a student there, thought of producing a large number of anatomical models by the casting method for teaching purposes. Fontana was a brilliant scientist who was first a professor of logic and then of physics in the state University of Pisa. From 1766, he was in charge of the court cabinet of physics, the nucleus of the Natural History Museum that the Grand Duke Peter Leopold (1747–1792, youngest son of the Empress Marie Therese of Hapsburg, 1717–1780) planned to establish in Florence (Martelli, 1977).

The Bolognese technique of wax modelling had already been introduced to Florence in 1770 (Castaldi, 1947; Contardi, 2002) by Giuseppe Galletti (1781–1819), a surgeon from the hospital of Santa Maria Novella in Florence. After seeing the obstetrical models made of wax and terra cotta manufactured in Bologna by Giovanni Manzolini and Giovanni Battista Sandi following a request of the surgeon Giovanni Antonio Galli (1708–1782), Galletti hired the sculptor Ferrini and produced some obstetrical and anatomical models that were noticed by Fontana. In 1771, Fontana asked the Grand Duke to fund a workshop as part of the museum. Peter Leopold was at first quite unreceptive to the idea as he was repelled by dissection but Fontana succeeded in persuading him by suggesting that a complete collection of anatomical models would make cadaveric specimens superfluous (Martelli, 1977; Lanza et al. 1979). Fontana engaged Ferrini in his workshop as his first modeller (contrary to Galletti’s wishes) and then the 19-year-old Clemente Susini (1754–1814) as second modeller, together with the dissector Antonio Matteucci and the...
Unlike the Bolognese waxes, which usually contained the skeleton, the Florentine models were entirely made of wax, of various kinds and mixtures. The procedure for making the models was long and complex and only a brief summary is given here (for further details, see Hilloowala et al. 1995; Poggesi, 1999; Musajo Somma, 2007). Numerous other dissectors and modellers joined the group, and individuals became specialized in tasks such as placing blood vessels, lymphatics, nerves or viscera (Azzaroli, 1977).

The quality of the resulting figure depended, of course, on the skill of the modeller, who made the appropriate mixture of pigments and waxes for each preparation so as to imitate the original texture of the specimen and thus achieve a realistic result. The young Susini was soon seen to be particularly skilled and, when Ferrini left for the court of Naples in 1782, he was named first modeller, an assignment that he kept until his death in 1814, by which time he had personally made or superintended the production of more than 2000 models (Martelli, 1997; Lemire, 1990). He was succeeded by Francesco Calenzuoli (1769–1847) who had been his assistant since 1784.

After Susini’s death, models continued to be made in the studio for other Florentine institutions such as the Technical Institute and the Museums of Botany and of Pathological Anatomy, and also for other Italian and foreign Universities. These included Cagliari, Bologna, Pavia, Pisa, Genoa, Perugia, Turin, Budapest, Leyden, Paris, Montpellier, London, Uppsala, Stockholm, New Orleans (whose models disappeared soon after 1900, Hilloowala et al. 1995) and possibly (but we were unable to obtain firm evidence of this) Charleston, Cairo and Lausanne (Castaldi, 1947).

The Josephinum collection

According to Lemire (1990), 150 models, among them several obstetrical preparations, were made from original casts, whereas the rest were made using those already stored at La Specola. The same author states that, although the collection as a whole looks even more magnificent than that of Florence, the pressure under which the wax modellers had to proceed in order to cope with the Emperor’s commission resulted in some models being of lower quality than the originals. Moreover, due to the harsh climate of Vienna, the models deteriorated and many had to be repaired. Today, there are 965 showcases containing 867 models of which 16 are entire human figures (Lemire, 1990; Lukić et al. 2002). The waxes were placed in the Caesarea-Regia medico-chirurgica Academia Josephina, which was inaugurated on November 7, 1783 with an opening address entitled ‘The pre-eminence and use of surgery’ read by the first director, Giovanni Alessandro Brambilla.

Brambilla used his influence on Joseph II to ensure that Latin was taught to Austrian surgeons so that they could study scientific texts and so be on an equal footing with physicians who attended universities where Latin was compulsory. First they were taught Latin, then anatomy and the other
The Cagliari collection

History

The Cagliari collection (Fig. 7) is very small in terms of the number of pieces (23 showcases for a total of 64 preparations) compared with the great collections of Florence and Vienna. It is, however, no less important as the models reflect the mature work of Susini (Ballestriero, 2010, this issue) and the ‘great artist’s last approach to his artistic vision’ (Cattaneo, 1970, 2007). According to the tags attached to each of the 23 showcases that form the collection, the models were made between 1803 and 1805, a time when Tuscany, despite the high-sounding name of ‘Kingdom of Etruria’, was reduced to a puppet state ruled by the French. By then, Fontana, in his early 70s, though still director of La Specola, had lost interest in wax modelling and was deeply engaged in the private workshop donated to him by Napoleon, who had been fascinated by his project of producing wooden anatomical models that could be touched, dismounted and reassembled (Castaldi, 1947; Martelli, 1977; Mazzolini, 2004; Märker, 2006). In 1804 Fontana was officially relieved of the task of supervising the wax modelling and was succeeded by Filippo Uccelli, who was elected to the position of anatomy teacher in the museum of La Specola (Märker, 2006).

The models were ordered from the Florentine workshop by Carlo Felice of Savoy, Viceroy of Sardinia, through the Sardinian anatomist Francesco Antonio Boi, Susini was no longer under Fontana’s tutorship (Fig. 5) and was at last free to fully express himself. This view is supported by the fact that all 23 of the Cagliari showcases bear the date and Susini’s signature; this was unusual as, to our knowledge, only a handful of models in the collections of Florence and Bologna are signed by Susini, and just one in the Josephinum (Schmidt, 1996).

The models were ordered from the Florentine workshop by Carlo Felice of Savoy, Viceroy of Sardinia, through the Sardinian anatomist Francesco Antonio Boi who spent a period of leave at the department of surgical anatomy of the Santa Maria Novella hospital (Castaldi, 1947). Boi was born in 1767 to a family of farmers in the village of Olzai in the Barbagia di Oloolai in the district of Nuoro, central Sardinia. Because of his proficiency in elementary school, he was, according to the custom of the time, directed toward ecclesiastical studies. At the age of 18, however, he left the Seminary of Oristano and went to Cagliari to study medicine. Despite the fact that, in order to earn his living, he had to work as preceptor in the house of the Chief Customs Officer, he obtained his medical degree in 1795. He soon acquired a good reputation and in 1799 was appointed to the chair of Human Anatomy which, since its institution in 1764, had been given to professors of other subjects (Castaldi, 1947; Sorgia, 1986; Dodero, 1999). As no students enrolled for the anatomy lessons in 1801, Boi obtained financial support from the Viceroy Carlo Felice to take sabbatical leave on the Italian peninsula to improve his knowledge of anatomy. He went first to Pavia, where the chair of Anatomy was then held by Antonio Scarpa, the most illustrious Italian anatomist of the time. He then moved to Pisa and on to Florence where, although there was no university, anatomical studies were flourishing at the Arcispedale di Santa Maria Nova under the direction of Paolo Mascagni, who had recently moved there after periods at the Universities of Pisa and Siena.

It was during the last years of the 18th century that the status of the modellers seems to have gradually changed from that of artisans to that of artists; they, and Susini in particular, started to receive recognition as the true authors of the La Specola waxes that in previous years had usually been reported as the works of Fontana (Märker, 2006). Thus, over the 3 years that he worked on the Cagliari commission with Francesco Antonio Boi, Susini was no longer under Fontana’s tutorship (Fig. 5) and was at last free to fully express himself. This view is supported by the fact that all 23 of the Cagliari showcases bear the date and Susini’s signature; this was unusual as, to our knowledge, only a handful of models in the collections of Florence and Bologna are signed by Susini, and just one in the Josephinum (Schmidt, 1996).

The wax anatomical models arrived in Cagliari in 1806 and that same year Boi resumed his teaching in the Medical School (Castaldi, 1947). Susini’s waxes were placed in the Museum of Antiquities and Natural History, founded by Carlo Felice, later called ‘Museo d’antichità della Regia Università degli studi di Cagliari’, which was on the ground floor of Palazzo Belgrano (Sorgia, 1986; Bullitta, 2005). In 1857, the main body of the museum was moved to another building but the wax models were granted to the University and placed under the charge of the Professor of Anatomy to be used for teaching purposes. In 1991 they were transferred to the present location in Cagliari Citadel of Museums (Riva, 2007).

Boi had a very successful academic and professional career. In 1818 he was named ‘Archiatre of the Kingdom of Sardinia’, an office comparable to that of a modern Minister of Health; in 1824 he was ennobled and in 1841 the cross of the Ordine Mauriziano, one of the most prestigious honours of the Kingdom, was bestowed on him, as can be seen in his official portrait, now exhibited in the museum. In this portrait (Fig. 8) Boi indicates, with his left index finger, a shelf containing six textbooks, four in Latin and two in French, each bearing the name of the author and title engraved in gold. These are: Marie Francois Xavier Bichat (1771–1802) *Anatomie generale*; Felix Vicq d’Azyr (1748–1794) *Tabulae Anatomicae*; Peter
The collection

All of the models (Table 1) are unique and differ from those produced in the La Specola wax workshop both earlier and later. They are all from human dissections, with the single exception of the boiled bovine tongue of showcase XVI, prepared according to Malpighi in order to show the lamination of its external layers (Zanobio, 2007). No whole human figures are represented. The most complete preparations are those contained in cases III and XII, which demonstrate the head and trunk of a female and a male cadaver, respectively. A distinctive feature of the collection is the importance given to both visceral and somatic nerves, which are accurately shown in more than one-third of the models. The representation of nerves in table XII, particularly those of the cardiac, celiac (Fig. 9A) and pelvic plexuses, compete with – or even surpass in precision – the most celebrated textbooks of the first half of the 19th century. A model that demonstrates the exceptional skill of Boi as a dissector is the preparation of the female perineum of case III (Fig. 9B); this shows the structure of the female external genital organs with details not matched until the recent study by O’Connel et al. (1988).

| Table 1 |
The 23 showcases of the Cagliari collection (Riva et al. 1997).

![Fig. 8](image8.png)

Portrait of Francesco Antonio Boi. Unknown painter of the second half of the 19th century.
Photography by Alessandro Cadau.

Figures 9 and 10 show examples from the Cagliari anatomical wax collection.

Fig. 9

Examples from the Cagliari anatomical wax collection. (A) Detail of case XII model showing the celiac plexus; note that the hepatic artery is double. (B) Detail of case III model: dissection of the female perineum. Note the relationship of the bulbs ...

![Fig. 9A](image9A.png)

A finding that distinguishes the waxes of Cagliari from those of Florence and Vienna, and even from those of Bologna made in 1810, is the absence of lymphatics in the brain (Fig. 9A). Lymphatics are represented in brain preparations of these other collections and are said to be based on a mistake of Paolo Mascagni, who erroneously depicted them in his textbooks (Lukić et al. 2003).

Fig. 10

Cagliari collection. (A) Detail of case XIII model: the brain is devoid of lymphatics; note also the detailed representation of nerves and the correct configuration of cerebral convolutions. (B) Detail of case XII model; note the tag with Susini’s ...

![Fig. 10A–D](image10A-D.png)

Considering the preparations as a whole, one cannot but be impressed by the organic unity of the collection, which reflects an intelligent selection of topics with respect to their scientific and didactic usefulness. All of the models fit perfectly with the requirements that Antonio Scarpa laid down for the use of the waxes in anatomy and are in his letters (Zanobio, 1979, 2007). His view was that the models can be conveniently used for demonstrating: (i) all parts of the human body that cannot be preserved for long periods; (ii) all parts that cannot be entirely demonstrated from a single point of view; and (iii) all parts that are hardly visible and especially those that require the use of a microscope. A further statement made by Scarpa in a letter (1786) addressed to Gregorio Fontana (1735–1803), brother of Felice Fontana, attests that no model was produced in La Specola in the absence of the cadaver (Scarpa, 1938). This statement finds support in the presence of such anatomical variations as the double hepatic artery seen in case XII (Fig. 9A). The fact that variants are represented in these models is of great relevance for the teaching of clinical anatomy because, as a rule, they are not illustrated in modern anatomy textbooks.

Conclusion

The 17th–18th century origins of anatomical waxes lay firstly in the need to provide more visual information than was possible in the two-dimensional illustrations then available and secondly in the lack of effective preservation techniques for cadavers, which made dissection of deteriorating bodies highly unpleasant. This is shown by the fact that many of the waxes display autolysis, the early works of Zumbo showing even more advanced stages of putrefaction. It is interesting to note that what started out as a practical craft soon started to become an art (Ballestriero, 2010, this issue). The quality of the reproductions became better and better, the waxes were treated with respect and often expensively mounted in high-quality cases, and it soon became clear that there was no better way of teaching human anatomy to students. The waxes at La Specola and Cagliari are still used for this purpose as they are far more lifelike than embalmed cadavers. They are also a lot more beautiful! Even today, we can admire the artistry of people who devoted their lives to wax modelling, and to the very skilled surgeons who made the dissections. This is particularly well demonstrated in the Cagliari waxes; here, the refined technical perfection with which anatomical details are reproduced confirms the close cooperation between Susini and Boi. Moreover, the realistic expressiveness of the faces, which really do seem to be portraits, together with the harmony of colours (Fig. 10A–D) make the models of Cagliari true masterpieces of figurative art. The exceptional quality of the Cagliari collection has been greatly appreciated in important exhibitions on art and anatomy (Fig. 11) and repeatedly acknowledged (Lanza et al. 1979;...
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